



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,415	07/16/2007	Pedro Queiroz Viera	NEU055	1929
7590	09/18/2009		EXAMINER PAIK, SANG YEOP	
McNair Law Firm P.O.Box 10827 Greenville, SC 29603-0827			ART UNIT 3742	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/18/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/594,415	VIERA, PEDRO QUEIROZ	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SANG Y. PAIK	3742	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-22 and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yip et al (US 6,859,615).

Yip shows the structure claimed including a housing, a receptacle arrangement having two chambers with each chamber having a wick with the chambers received in a single base, a heater arrangement for individually heating each wick which is protruding from the chamber and through a heating block having a heater wherein the individual heating blocks are isolated from each other with an air gap there between, at least one blower partially enclosed in the housing, a control unit such as CPU, including a timer and manual switches, for controlling each of the heaters independently and periodically, the blower that is switched on at defined times wherein the blower generates an air stream in the wick end evaporation/heating area with a ventilation slot area for releasing the evaporated substance, and the evaporated substance is conveyed to a mixing area/chamber above the ventilation slot area toward a tapered nozzle wall and through an air outlet of the housing. Yip further shows a connection plug with a cable to power the heater and the blower. (Also see drawing Figures 16-21)

With respect to claims 24, 26, it is noted the recited insecticide contained in a second receiving relates as a material that is worked by the apparatus which does not limit the apparatus claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yip et al (US 6,859,615) in view of Sugimura (US 3,410,488).

Yip shows the device claimed except for a plurality of fans.

Sugimura shows that it is known to provide each fragrance containing unit with its respective fan (see Figure 2).

In view of Sugimura, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Yip with each chamber having its own blower to independently and separately provide the air stream as desired by the user.

With respect to claim 13, Yip does not explicitly show that its heater is an electrical resistance heating element, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the heater in Yip as an electrical resistance heating element since such heating element is well known in an electrical heater for the electrical vapor or fragrance dispensers.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yip et al (US 6,859,615) in view of Arabori et al (US 4,870,254) or Ueda (US 4,375,586).

Yip shows the device claimed except for a heating element for heating the air stream generated by the blower.

Arabori or Ueda shows that it is known to provide a heater for heating an air drawn in by a fan.

In view of Arabori or Ueda, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Yip with a heater fan for heating the air stream generated by the blower to facilitate and maintain the vaporized fragrant in the heated state to further enhance its dispersion from the outlet of the housing.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANG Y. PAIK whose telephone number is (571) 272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on (571) 272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SANG Y PAIK/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742